



REFEREE ASSESSMENT FORM (VERSION 'K')

Candidate	Status	Assessor	Status	Date	Referee system	QCP
Event	Player A	Player B	Level	Start time	End time	Round
2 nd match for pooling						
3 rd match for pooling						

#	R	A	A/B	I/D	CODE	SCORE	AR	COMMENTS
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								

ABBREVIATIONS

CONDUCT DECISIONS		ANNOTATIONS		COMPETENCY CODES		INTERFERENCE DECISIONS	
CW	Conduct warning	A / B	Player A / Player B	DA	Direct access - movement off the ball	Y	Yes let
CS	Conduct stroke	I / D	Influential / Difficult	PB	Effort to play the ball	N	No let
CG	Conduct game	QCP	Quality Control Process	SW	Swing interference	S	Stroke
CM	Conduct match	AR	Appeal Referee	FW	Front wall interference	MARKER ITEMS	
REFEREE SYSTEM				MR	Marker performance		
R/M	Referee / Marker	2RS	2-Referee System	MM	Match management	G / U	Good / Unsure
SR	Single Referee	3RS	3-Referee System			NU / F	Not up / Fault
OTHER	(MI) Minimal interference (CI) Created interference (WR) Winning return (PT) Played through (DZ) Danger zone (WF) Wrong footing						
	(BS) Back swing (FT) Follow through (RFOI) Reasonable fear of injury (VNR) Vertical-nick rebound (LC) Left center, etc (RF) Right front, etc						

Candidate name	Player A	Player B	Date
2 nd match for pooling			
3 rd match for pooling			

#	R	A	A/B	I/D	CODE	SCORE	AR	COMMENT
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								
33								
34								
35								
36								
37								
38								
39								
40								
41								
42								
43								
44								
45								
46								
47								
48								

Candidate name	Player A name	Player B name	Date
2 nd match for pooling			
3 rd match for pooling			

PERFORMANCE BREAKDOWN BY COMPETENCY

PASS: Meets standards for next level

NTS: Not to standard

N/A: Not assessible

DA: Awareness of non-striker failing to provide direct access, all while considering the effort to clear (or lack of it), and the application of reasonable standards for speed and direction of clearing.

PASS NTS N/A

PB: Awareness of striker's effort to play the ball and ability to make a good return, as well as considering the absence of interference, minimal interference, created interference, and playing through.

PASS NTS N/A

SW: Awareness of which part of the swing (backswing, downswing, or follow-through) is involved in the interference, and if it was prevented or affected - including whether it was excessive or exaggerated.

PASS NTS N/A

FW: Awareness of interference to the path of the ball, consideration of the ball hitting a player, timing of the appeal, and whether the return would have been a good or winning return.

PASS NTS N/A

MR: Understanding of the Marker's responsibilities and performance of those tasks, including calling and recording the score, making calls and announcements, and repeating the Referee's decisions where appropriate.

PASS NTS N/A

MM: Overall handling of the match, which might include poor behavior, communication, distractions, timing, fallen objects, injury/illness/bleeding, crowd control, equipment issues, broken ball/change of ball, and interference itself.

PASS NTS N/A

Candidate name	Player A name	Player B name	Date	MD	CP
2 nd match for pooling					
3 rd match for pooling					

MATCH & ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Overall match difficulty (MD):

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Very easy										Very difficult	

Overall candidate performance (CP):

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Below standard										Above standard	

NOTE: With pooled matches, record the rating number (1 to 10) in the MD & CP columns beside each match at the top of this page. Then, by circling the appropriate numbers on the two main 10-point scales (immediately above here), rate the MD & CP by using the content of all pooled matches - giving extra weight to the most challenging match.

For the transfer of MD & CP information to the database, use the toughest of the matches to complete the 'Player & Match Identification' section. Then complete the 'Summary of Competencies' and 'Match & Assessment Summary' sections by using the content of all pooled matches – again giving extra weight to the most challenging match but taking into account (if applicable) any improvement or relapse in any of the competencies from one match to the next.

Duration _____ **Number of requests for lets** _____ **Number of appeals** _____

Comments:

Recommended for _____ **level** (Local, Provincial, National, or QCP) **Not to standard** **Not valid**

Assessor name _____ **Assessor signature** _____ **Date** _____

Candidate acknowledgement: *I agree that the Assessor has discussed the above match with me and that this information may be made available to future Assessors.* BY EMAIL BY PHONE

Candidate signature _____ **Date** _____

Code of Ethics: *Squash Canada is committed to equity and transparency throughout these and all procedures. Assessors are expected to deal ethically, fairly and respectfully with candidates throughout every phase of the assessment process. They are also bound to inform candidates of the process to communicate confidentially and free of any intimidation with Squash Canada should the candidate have concerns with any element of the assessment process. If necessary, please use the following contact information: info@squash.ca*